

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/719,415	11/21/2003	Dusan Pavenik	PA-5360-RFB 3409		
	7590 03/12/2007 P PATENT OFFICE	EXAMINER			
P.O. BOX 2269 BLOOMINGTON, IN 47402			PRONE, CHRISTOPHER D		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3738		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/12/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/719,415		PAVCNIK ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Christopher D. Prone	3738		

	Christopher D. Prone	3738	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 12 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, aft tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in c	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire it	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		E FIRST REPLT WAS F	ILED MILLUIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.7 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origor than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropr inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	is of the date of e appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the data of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ocauso
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		·
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below). They are not deemed to place the application in be		educing or simplifying	the issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	jected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.15. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro 	□ will not be entered, or b) □ wivided below or appended.	ill be entered and an e	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		•	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:	•		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an action are presented. See 27 CFR 1.116(c)	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to the second and afficient approximately in presented to the second and afficient approximately in presented to the second and afficient approximately in the second and approximately in the second and afficient approximately in the second and approximately in t	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:
See Continuation Sheet.12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SR/08) Paper No(s)	•	· •
13. Other:	(1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1		
		Q _U	
C	ORRINE MCDERMOTT VISORY PATENT EXAMINER	CDP	
SUPER	VISUIT TATELY 2700		

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's argument that neither Love, Pinchuk, nor Fearnot teach of an implant comprising a stent having distal and proximal ends that are coincident with the distal and proximal ends of a graft is not convincing. The examiner is broadly interpreting the terms proximal and distal ends as being the entire ends of the grafts and stents. The claims do not recite any language that requires the ends to being only the most extreme or most distal end portion of the ends. Therefore the rejection remains valid because the both ends of the stents are clearly coincident with a portion of each end of the tissue graft end.